

## Specification

Light control film and backlight unit using the same

[Technical Field]

[0001]

The present invention relates to a light control film ~~used for~~ backlight units such as those used for liquid crystal displays and so forth, and a backlight unit using the same.

[Background Art]

[0002]

For liquid crystal displays ~~and so forth~~, backlight units of the edge light type or direct light type are conventionally used. Since backlight units of the edge light type ~~themselves~~ can be manufactured with a small thickness, they are used for notebook computers etc., whereas backlight units of the direct type are used for large-sized ~~liquid crystal~~ LCD television, etc. ~~in many cases.~~

[0003]

Light ~~s~~ emitted from these conventional backlight units contains components emitted ~~along in~~ directions significantly ~~inclined~~ angled from the front direction. ~~Lights, especially light~~ emitted from backlight units of the edge light type, ~~in particular, contain a lot of components emitted along directions significantly inclined from the front direction,~~ and thus it is difficult to obtain high front luminance.

[0004]

Therefore, in the conventional backlight units, two or more optical films such as prism sheets and light diffusing films are used in combination in order to improve

front luminance so that ~~the directions of lights is~~ should be directed to the front ~~direction~~ (see, for example, Japanese Patent Unexamined Publication (KOKAI) No. 9-127314 (claim 1, paragraph 0034)).

[0005]

Although prism sheets can increase the ~~ratio portion~~ of the light s-emitted ~~along to the front direction~~ (in a direction perpendicular to film surface) by surface design based on geometric optics. However, they have drawbacks in that they are likely to ~~suffer from~~ exhibit an interference pattern due to regularly arranged convex portions, and in that, ~~they cause glare if they are used alone,~~ they produce glare and thus ~~they impair the~~ visibility of images. Moreover, they unduly concentrate lights ~~along to the front direction~~, and therefore they cannot provide a wide viewing angle.

[0006]

On the other hand, if diffusion films are used alone, the front luminance becomes insufficient, although the aforementioned problems are not caused.

[0007]

Therefore, a prism sheet and a light diffusing film are used in combination as described above. However, the front luminance enhanced by the prism sheet is reduced by the ~~use of the~~ light diffusing film. Moreover, the films assembled place in layers may generate Newton rings between the ~~members layers~~, or ~~scratches and so forth generated~~ become scratched due to ~~the their~~ contact of the ~~members~~ may cause a problem.

~~{Patent document 1}~~ See Japanese Patent Unexamined Publication (KOKAI) No. 9-127314

~~{Disclosure of the Invention}~~

~~{Problems to be solved by the Invention}~~ SUMMARY OF THE

INVENTION

[0008]

Therefore, an object of the present invention is to provide a light control film that provides improved ~~can surely improve~~ front luminance when ~~it is~~ used alone or in combination with a prism sheet, ~~provides~~ ~~has an~~ appropriate light diffusion ~~diffusing~~ property, and does not produce an ~~suffer from the problems of~~ interference pattern or and glare, and a backlight unit using the same.

~~[Means for solving the Problems]~~

[0009]

In order to achieve the aforementioned object, the inventor of the present invention ~~conducted various researches~~ studied the ~~on~~ various factors defining the surface profile of light control films such as the convexo-concave profile, lengths, slopes with respect to film surface (base plane), heights and pitches of the convexo-concave portions, and as a result, ~~they~~ found that the front luminance could be improved by appropriately controlling slopes of the rough surface with respect to the film base plane and the profile thereof. ~~and thereby efficiently directing lights entered into the film to the front direction (projection direction).~~

[0010]

More specifically, it was found that superior front luminance could be achieved, if, ~~when a~~ for any cross section 100 in ~~was assumed along an~~ arbitrary direction perpendicular to a film plane (plane of the film ~~a surface on the side opposite to the side of the surface on which the rough surface is formed~~) as shown in Fig. 1, ~~either one of a condition~~ conditions A and B are satisfied. Condition A requires that the average  $\langle \theta_{ave} \rangle$  of absolute values of slope (degree of angles) of a curve defining the periphery

of the cross section (profile curve 101) ~~was~~ be within a predetermined range (condition A1) ~~and/or that the~~ and a ~~condition that ratio  $\{L_r = L2/L1\}$  of a length  $\{$ , wherein  $L1\}$  is the length of a straight line 102 defined as an intersection of the film plane and the cross section and a length  $\{L2\}$  is the length of the profile curve 101, be~~ was within a predetermined range (condition A2). ~~was satisfied, and further, a condition~~ Condition B requires that skewness  $P_{sk}$  (~~JIS B0601:2001~~) of the profile curve, as defined by JISB0601:2001, ~~be is~~ within a predetermined range (conditions B1), ~~and/or a condition~~ that kurtosis  $P_{ku}$  (~~JIS B0601:2001~~) of the profile curve, as defined by JISB0601:2001, be is within a specific range (condition B2). ~~was satisfied, and thus the present invention was accomplished.~~

[0011]

~~Among the aforementioned conditions, the~~ The values used for the conditions A1 and A2 are parameters determining the degree of slopes of ~~convex and concave~~ existing the convex and concave forms on the rough surface of the film surface, and the values used for the conditions B1 and B2 are parameters determining the shapes of ~~convex and concave~~ the convex and concave forms. Specifically, the skewness  $P_{sk}$  represents asymmetry, i.e., degree of deviation degree, of heights of ~~convex and concave~~ convex and concave forms (measure of asymmetry of a probability density function for the height ~~direction~~). For example, as for a ~~one~~ convex shape which, if it does not deviate from the central line,  $P_{sk}$  is 0, and a larger absolute value of  $P_{sk}$  represents larger deviation from the central line, while the sign of  $P_{sk}$  differs depending on the ~~toward which~~ direction in which the convex shape deviates. ~~Further, The~~ the kurtosis  $P_{ku}$  represents sharpness of the convex and

~~concave forms convexconcaves~~ (measure of sharpness of probability density function along the height ~~direction~~), and when the probability density function has a shape of normal distribution, the kurtosis  $P_{ku}$  is 3. When the kurtosis  $P_{ku}$  ~~is has~~ is ~~a value~~ larger than 3 ~~that value~~, the convex ~~portion-form should~~ will have a sharper shape, and when the kurtosis  $P_{ku}$  ~~has is~~ is ~~a value~~ smaller than 3 ~~that value~~, the convex ~~portion-form should~~ will have a shape with a squashed apex. The light control film of the present invention satisfies, among such conditions ~~concerning for~~ the slope and shape, at least one of A1 and A2, and at least one of B1 and B2.

[0012]

~~That is~~ Thus, in one aspect, the light control film of the present invention is a light control film having a rough surface, ~~having wherein the rough surface satisfies,~~ for ~~an any~~ arbitrary cross section perpendicular to a base plane of the film, ~~a an average condition that average~~  $\{\theta_{ave}$  ~~degree~~ of absolute values of slope, with respect to the base plane of a curve along the edge of the cross section contoured by the rough surface (~~hereinafter henceforth referred to as~~ "profile curve") of ~~is~~ not less than 20 degrees and not more than 75 degrees, and, for substantially all profile curves, the absolute value for ~~of~~ skewness, according to ~~(JIS B0601:2001, )~~ of the profile curve is not more than 1.2 ~~for substantially any profile curve~~ (condition A1 + condition B1).

[0013]

In another aspect, ~~t~~The light control film of the present invention is ~~also~~ a light control film having a rough surface of ~~formed by a patterned layer of comprising~~ a material having a refractive index  $n$ , wherein the rough surface has ~~satisfies~~, for any arbitrary cross section

perpendicular to a base plane of the film, ~~an condition~~  
~~that average~~  $\{\theta_{ave}, \text{degree}\}$  of absolute values for ~~of~~ slope,  
 with respect to the base plane of a curve along the edge of  
 the cross section contoured by the rough surface,  
~~(henceforth referred to as "profile curve")~~ is not less  
 than  $(36 - 10n)$  degrees and not more than  $(86 - 10n)$  degrees,  
 and wherein substantially all profile curves have an  
 absolute value of skewness, according to ~~(JIS B0601:2001,~~  
~~of the profile curve is not more than~~  $(n - 0.4)$  ~~for~~  
~~substantially any profile curve~~ (condition A1' (condition  
 A1 considering the refractive index  $n$ ) + condition B1).  
 [0014]

In yet another aspect, ~~t~~The light control film of the  
 present invention is ~~also~~ a light control film having a  
 rough surface, wherein the rough surface has ~~satisfies~~, for  
 any arbitrary cross section perpendicular to a base plane  
 of the film, ~~an condition~~ ~~that average~~  $\{\theta_{ave}, \text{degree}\}$  of  
 absolute values for ~~of~~ slope, with respect to the base  
 plane of a profile curve along the edge of the cross  
 section contoured by the rough surface, ~~(henceforth~~  
~~referred to as "profile curve")~~ is of not less than 20  
 degrees and not more than 75 degrees, and wherein  
substantially all profile curves have a kurtosis (JIS  
 B0601:2001) ~~of the profile curve is not less than~~ 1.5 and  
 not more than 5.0 for substantially any profile curve  
 (condition A1 + condition B2).  
 [0015]

In another aspect, ~~t~~The light control film of the  
 present invention is ~~also~~ a light control film having a  
 rough surface formed by a patterned layer of ~~comprising~~ a  
 material having a refractive index  $n$ , wherein the rough  
 surface has ~~satisfies~~, for any arbitrary cross section  
 perpendicular to a base plane of the film, ~~an condition~~

~~that~~ average ( $\theta_{ave}$ , degree) of absolute values for ~~of~~ slope, with respect to the base plane of a profile curve along the edge of the cross section contoured by the rough surface, ~~(henceforth referred to as "profile curve")~~ is not less than  $(36 - 10n)$  degrees and not more than  $(86 - 10n)$  degrees, and wherein substantially all profile curves have a kurtosis (JIS B0601:2001) ~~of the profile curve~~ is not less than 1.5 and not more than  $(10n - 11)$  ~~for substantially any profile curve~~ (condition A1' + condition B2).

[0016]

In yet another aspect, ~~t~~The light control film of the present invention is ~~also~~ a light control film having a rough surface, wherein the rough surface has ~~satisfies~~, for an arbitrary cross section perpendicular to a base plane of the film, a ~~condition that~~ ratio  $(L_r = L2/L1)$  ~~of a length wherein~~  $(L2)$  is the length of a curve along the edge of the cross section contoured by the rough surface and ~~(henceforth referred to as "profile curve")~~ ~~to a length~~  $(L1)$  is the length of a straight line defined as an intersection of the base plane and the cross section, of ~~is~~  $1.1 \leq L_r \leq 1.8$ , and wherein the absolute value of skewness, according to ~~(JIS B0601:2001,~~ of the profile curve is not more than 1.2 for substantially ~~any~~ all cross sections (condition A2 + condition B1).

[0017]

In another aspect, ~~t~~The light control film of the present invention is ~~also~~ a light control film having a rough surface on ~~formed by a~~ patterned layer of ~~comprising~~ a material having a refractive index  $n$ , wherein the rough surface has ~~satisfies~~, for any arbitrary cross section perpendicular to a base plane of the film, a ~~condition that~~ ratio  $(L_r = L2/L1)$ , wherein  ~~$L_r$~~  ~~a length~~  $(L2)$  is the length of a profile curve along the edge of the cross section

contoured by the rough surface and ~~(henceforth referred to as "profile curve") to a length {L1} is the length~~ of a straight line defined as an intersection of the base plane and the cross section, wherein ~~is~~  $(1.9 - 0.5n) \leq L_r \leq 1.8$ , and wherein substantially all arbitrary cross-sections have an absolute value of skewness, according to {JIS B0601:2001,} of the ~~profile curve~~ is not more than  $(n - 0.4)$  ~~for substantially any cross section~~ (condition A2' (condition A2 considering the refractive index  $n$ ) + condition B1).

[0018]

In yet another aspect ~~Further~~, the light control film of the present invention is ~~also~~ a light control film having a rough surface which has, ~~wherein the rough surface satisfies~~, for any arbitrary cross section perpendicular to a base plane of the film, a ~~condition that~~ ratio  $\{L_r = L_2/L_1\}$  ~~of a length {, wherein L2} is the length of the profile a-curve along the edge of the cross section~~ contoured by the rough surface and ~~(henceforth referred to as "profile curve") to a length {L1} is the length~~ of a straight line defined as an intersection of the base plane and the cross section, wherein ~~is~~  $1.1 \leq L_r \leq 1.8$ , and wherein substantially all arbitrary cross-sections have a kurtosis, according to {JIS B0601:2001,} of the profile curve which is not less than 1.0 and not more than 4.5 ~~for substantially any cross section~~ (condition A2 + condition B2).

[0019]

In other embodiments, ~~t~~The light control film of the present invention is ~~also~~ a light control film having a rough surface on ~~formed by a patterned layer of comprising~~ a material having a refractive index  $n$ , wherein the rough surface has ~~satisfies~~, for an arbitrary cross section



perpendicular to a base plane of the film, a ~~condition that~~  
 ratio  $\{L_r = L2/L1, \text{ wherein}\}$  ~~of a length \{L2\}~~ is the length  
 of a the profile curve along the edge of the cross section  
 contoured by the rough surface and ~~(henceforth referred to~~  
~~as "profile curve")~~ ~~to a length \{L1\}~~ is the length of a  
 straight line defined as an intersection of the base plane  
 and the cross section ( $L_r = L2/L1$ ) is  $(1.9 - 0.5n) \leq L_r \leq$   
 $1.8$ , and wherein substantially all profile curves have a  
kurtosis according to \{JIS B0601:2001,\} ~~of the profile~~  
~~curve is not~~ less than 1.0 and not more than  $(10n - 11.5)$   
~~for substantially any cross section~~ (condition A2' +  
 condition B2)

[0020]

In the present invention, the "base plane of the  
 film" means a plane of the film regarded as substantially  
 planar, and when the face of the light control film of the  
 present invention opposite to the face on which the convex  
and concave shapes ~~convex and concave~~ are formed is smooth,  
 the plane of this opposing face can be regarded as the base  
 plane. When the opposite face is not smooth but a rough  
 surface, a plane centered between the ~~including the central~~  
~~lines of two different faces~~ directions thereof can be  
 regarded as the base plane.

[0021]

When a profile curve is ~~generally~~ represented as  $y =$   
 $f(x)$ , the length  $\{L2\}$  of the profile curve with respect to  
 such a base plane can be represented by the following  
 equation (1) using  $f'(x)$  obtained by differentiating  $f(x)$   
 with  $x$ .

[0022]

~~\{#1\}~~

$$L2 = \int_0^{L1} \sqrt{1 + f'(x)^2} dx \quad (1)$$

[0023]

Further, slopes of the profile curve relative with  
~~respect~~ to the base plane can be generally obtained as  
 $f'(x)$  obtained by differentiating  $f(x)$  with  $x$ , and average  
 $(S_{av})$  of absolute values thereof can be represented by the  
 following equation (2) wherein  $L$  represents the length of  
 intervals for which the aforementioned values are  
 calculated. Further, when the slopes are indicated as ~~in~~ a  
 unit of angle, the average of absolute values of such  
 slopes  $(\theta_{av})$  can be represented by the following equation  
 (3).

[0024]

{#2}\_

$$S_{av} = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L |f'(x)| dx \quad (2)$$

[0025]

{#3}

$$\theta_{av} = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L |\tan^{-1} f'(x)| dx \quad (3)$$

[0026]

However, although it is possible to use such a  
 function for product designing, it is almost impossible to  
 describe a profile curve with a general function for an  
 actual product, and thus the length ~~{L2}~~ and the average of  
 absolute values of slopes are difficult to obtain ~~can~~  
~~hardly be obtained, either~~. Therefore, in the present  
 invention, values calculated as follows are defined as the  
 length of the profile curve and the average of absolute

values of slope.

[0027]

First, a profile curve is measured from an arbitrary point on ~~of~~ a rough surface along an arbitrary direction by using a surface profiler. The results of measurement ~~include results are constituted by~~ height data measured at positions  $(d_1, d_2, d_3 \dots d_m)$  separated by ~~with a~~ predetermined interval  $\{\Delta d\}$  from each other,  $(h(d_1), h(d_2), h(d_3) \dots h(d_m))$ . ~~This~~ These are ~~data that~~ can be represented as a curve in a graph in which the vertical axis indicates height of the convex and concave shapes ~~convex and concave~~ and the horizontal axis indicates the direction of the profile curve, for example, as shown in Fig. 2. Portions of the profile curve, each corresponding to one interval (e.g.,  $(a-b), (c-d)$ ), can be regarded as straight lines, if the interval is sufficiently short, and the lengths thereof  $\lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3 \dots m-1$ ) can be represented by the following equation (4).

[0028]

{#4}

$$\lambda_i = \sqrt{(h(d_i) - h(d_{i+1}))^2 + \Delta d^2} \quad (4)$$

[0029]

Then, the lengths obtained for all the portions of the profile curve corresponding to a predetermined interval  $(\Delta d)$  are summed to obtain  $L_2$ , as represented by the following equation (5).

[0030]

{#5}

$$L2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \lambda_i \quad (5)$$

Further, absolute value  $\theta_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3 \dots m-1$ ) of slope of a portion of the profile curve corresponding to one interval as described mentioned above can be represented by the following equation (6) wherein the unit is degrees (unit is "degree").

[0031]

{#6}

$$\theta_i = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{h(d_{i+1}) - h(d_i)}{\Delta d} \right) \quad (6)$$

[0032]

Further, average of the aforementioned slopes obtained for all the portions of the profile curve divided into the predetermined interval ( $\Delta d$ ) as shown in the following equation (7) is used as the average of absolute values of slope  $\theta_{ave}$ .

[0032]

{#7}

$$\theta_{ave} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m |\theta_i| \quad (7)$$

[0034]

The length of the aforementioned interval ( $\Delta d$ ) is chosen such ~~a length that~~ the profile of the rough surface is included in the profile curve can be sufficiently correctly represented by the profile curve, i.e. reflected, ~~and it is specifically an interval of about 1.0  $\mu m$  or~~

shorter.

[0035]

The backlight unit of the present invention is a backlight unit comprising a light guide plate and a ~~provided with a~~ light source directed for at least at one edge surface end portion thereof, the light guide plate and having a light emergent surface approximately perpendicular to the edge surface end portion and a light control film of the present invention provided on the light emergent surface of the light guide plate, ~~wherein the~~ ~~aforementioned light control film is used as the light control film.~~

The backlight unit of the present invention may further include ~~be the aforementioned backlight unit,~~ ~~wherein a~~ prism sheet ~~is used between the light control film and the light guide plate.~~

[0036]

The backlight unit of the present invention may is ~~also be~~ a backlight unit comprising a light source, a light diffusive plate provided on one side of the light source and the a-light control film of the present invention provided on the side of the light diffusive plate opposite to the light source side, ~~wherein the aforementioned light control film is used as the light control film.~~

~~[Effect of the Invention]~~

[0037]

The light control film of the present invention can increase the amount ~~components of~~ light emitted ins ~~of~~ the front direction, in particular, those ~~in the range of~~ emissions at an angle of 0 to 30 degrees, for lights entering ~~from the surface opposite to the rough surface~~ and emitted from the rough surface, and thus provide it ~~can~~ ~~attain~~ markedly higher front luminance as compared with the

usual diffusing films. In addition, it also ~~has~~ provides appropriate light diffusion ~~diffusing property~~ and does not generate glare or ~~and~~ interference pattern.

[0038]

Accordingly ~~Further~~, the backlight unit of the present invention is a backlight unit which provides ~~providing~~ high front luminance and, ~~having~~ appropriate light diffusion ~~diffusing property~~, and which does not generate ~~ing~~ glare or and interference pattern, due to ~~because it use of a s the~~ particular light control film. Moreover, it can prevent scratching of ~~generation of scratches on a prism sheet due to contact with other members and so forth.~~

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

[0151]

Fig. 1 shows a light control film with a rough surface in accordance with the present invention.

Fig. 2 shows a profile curve of the light control film the present invention.

Figs. 3(a)-3(b) are sectional views of embodiments of the light control films of the present invention.

Fig. 4-1 is a sectional view of a three-dimensional shape of a convex portion used to stimulate differences in emergent angle characteristics due to differences in shape.

Fig. 4-2 is a perspective view of the three-dimensional shape of the convex portion shown in Fig. 4-1.

Fig. 5 is a graph of the results of three-dimensional simulation.

Fig. 6 is another graph of results of three-dimensional simulation.

Fig. 7 is another graph of results of three-dimensional simulation.

Fig. 8 is yet another graph of results of three-dimensional simulation.

Fig. 9 is another graph of results of three-dimensional simulation.

Fig. 10 is another graph of results of three-dimensional simulation.

Fig. 11 is another graph of results of three-dimensional simulation.

Fig. 12 is a perspective view of an example of the rough surface of the light control film of the present invention.

Fig. 13 is a schematic of an embodiment of a backlight unit of the present invention.

Fig. 14 is a schematic of another embodiment of a backlight unit of the present invention.

~~{Best Mode of Carrying Out the Invention}~~ DESCRIPTION OF  
PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0039]

Hereafter, ~~The~~ the light control film and backlight unit of the present invention will now be explained in detail with reference to the drawings. The sizes (thickness, width, height etc.) of the elements illustrated in the drawings ~~used~~ for explanation of the present invention are enlarged or reduced as required for explanation and do not reflect the actual sizes of the elements of an actual light control film and/or actual backlight unit.

[0040]

Figs. 3 (a) to 3 (c) schematically show examples of the light control film of the present invention. As shown in the drawings, the light control film of the present invention has fine convex and concave shapes ~~convexoconcaves~~ formed on one face of a substantially planar film and defining has a characteristic profile in cross-section of the convexoconcaves. The convex and

concave shapes ~~convexconcave~~ may be formed on a layer provided on one face of a film used as a substrate as shown in 3 (a) and 3 (b), or on a surface of the light control film itself, i.e. ~~may be constituted with a single layer on which convex and concave shapes~~ ~~convexconcave~~ are formed as shown in 3 (c).

[0041]

When lights enters into the light control film of the present invention from the surface opposite ~~to the~~ rough surface on which ~~which the convex and concave shapes~~ ~~convexconcave~~ are formed and ~~are~~ is emitted from the rough surface, the light control film of the present invention controls direction of the lights so that the ~~components~~ amount of the lights emitted with ~~at~~ an angle ~~with respect to the front direction within a predetermined range~~ should be increased to enhance front luminance, and ~~light diffusing property which~~ and diffusion prevents glares ~~should be provided~~. Although the surface opposite ~~to the~~ surface on which convex and concave shapes ~~convexconcave~~ are formed is typically a smooth surface, it is not limited to a smooth surface. For example, matting the opposing surface may be ~~performed~~ matted or provided with a predetermined dot pattern ~~etc. may be formed on the surface~~.

[0042]

Hereafter, the ~~conditions concerning~~ factors providing the profile of the convex and concave shapes ~~convexconcave~~ for controlling direction of lights as described above will be explained.

[0043]

In the present invention, conditions (criteria) for obtaining optimum emergent lights emission were first investigated for a single convex portion (Fig. 4-2) ~~consisting of a~~ (revolution body) formed by rotating such



an arbitrary curve such as shown in Fig. 4-1 on a xy-plane, serving as a base plane, around a z-axis perpendicular to the xy-plane ~~by~~, simulating the relationship between incident lights and emergent lights in a three-dimensional space while changing the convex shape, height thereof, angle of incident light and so forth. ~~And the~~ distribution of lights emerging from the convex side (emergent angle characteristics) was obtained by calculation for the case where lights having the same distribution as that of lights emerging from a light guide plate in an actual backlight unit enters from the bottom face opposite the side of the ~~convex-convex shape portion~~. The calculation was performed by assuming that the refractive index of the inside of the convex shape portion was 1.5, which is the refractive index of a common acrylic resin.

[0044]

Fig. 5 shows a graph representing distribution of emergent lights, ~~which is a result of~~ produced by simulation performed for the convex portion having the shape shown in Fig. 4-2. In the graph, the solid line represents distribution of emergent lights, and the dotted line represents distribution of incident lights. In order to provide favorable front luminance and light scattering ~~property of~~ to a certain degree, it is desirable that most components of the lights emerging with merge at an angle within the range of ~~the front direction~~  $(0 \text{ degree}) \pm 30$  degrees ~~should be abundant~~ to the front direction.

[0045]

Then, in order to find conditions for obtaining emergent light characteristics satisfying ~~such~~ the above-mentioned criteria for a rough surface on which multiple convex portions are formed, change of emergent light distribution was simulated while the shape

of the convex ~~portions~~ forms and height thereof were ~~variously changed~~ for a ~~system~~ light control film having a multiple number of the convex ~~portions mentioned above~~ forms.

The results of the simulation of the relationship between the average of absolute values of slope of the profile curve ( $\theta_{ave}$ ) and energy of the emergent lights are shown in the graph of Fig. 6. In the graph, the horizontal axis represents average of absolute values of slope of a profile curve ( $\theta_{ave}$ ), and the vertical axis represents energy of emergent lights. The points of the first group 601 indicate ~~energies~~ energy of emergent lights within the range of not more than 6 degrees about the z axis (henceforth referred to as "emergent lights<sub>6</sub>"), those of the second group 602 indicate ~~energies~~ energy of emergent lights within the range of not more than 18 degrees about the z axis (henceforth referred to as "emergent lights<sub>18</sub>"), and those of the third group 603 indicate ~~energies~~ energy of emergent lights within the range of not more than 30 degrees about the z axis (henceforth referred to as "emergent lights<sub>30</sub>").

[0046]

In the simulation results, there was observed a tendency ~~that~~ of the ratio of the emergent light<sub>30</sub> to increased as the average of absolute values of slopes ( $\theta_{ave}$ ) became larger, but when  $\theta_{ave}$  exceeded ~~it became further larger exceeding~~ a certain level, the ratio conversely decreased. Therefore, a comprehensive index of convexo-concave profiles providing correlation with the emergent light<sub>30</sub> was investigated. As a result, it was found that if the skewness  $P_{sk}$  defined in JIS B0601:2001 or the kurtosis  $P_{ku}$  defined in JIS B0601:2001 was used for a profile curve appearing on a rough surface of a light control film, the relation with the emergent light<sub>30</sub> could be best

~~described~~defined.

[0047]

Figs. 7 and 8 show graphs representing the results of the simulation, and both represent change of the emergent light energy with change of the average of absolute values of slopes  $\langle\theta_{ave}\rangle$  plotted ~~in~~on the horizontal axis.

[0048]

From these simulation results, it was found that the energy of emergent lights having an emergent angle of 30 degrees or less tended to sharply increase when the average of absolute values of slope of the profile curve ( $\theta_{ave}$ ) was not less than 20 degrees and not more than 70 degrees, whereas there were some cases where ~~the rate that~~ portion of the emergent light<sub>30</sub> did not become high even if the average of absolute values of slope of the profile curve  $\langle\theta_{ave}\rangle$  was within the aforementioned range. However, it was found that if only the results obtained with an absolute value of the skewness  $\langle P_{sk}\rangle$  of the profile curve not more than 1.2 (points ~~of~~ "●" 604 in Fig. 7) were observed, the ~~rate~~portion of the emergent light<sub>30</sub> was always high. Moreover, it was found that if only the results obtained with a kurtosis ( $P_{ku}$ ) of the profile curve not less than 1.5 and not more than 5.0 (points ~~of~~ "●" 605 in Fig. 8) were observed, the ~~rate~~portion of the emergent light<sub>30</sub> was always high.

[0049]

When the average of absolute values of slopes of the profile curve ( $\theta_{ave}$ ) is not less than 20 degrees and not more than 70 degrees, preferably not less than 20 degrees and not more than 60 degrees, more preferably not less than 20 degrees and not more than 50 degrees, if the absolute value of the skewness ( $P_{sk}$ ) of the profile curve is not more than 1.2, preferably not more than 1.1, or the

kurtosis ( $P_{ku}$ ) of the profile curve is not less than 1.5 and not more than 5.0, preferably not less than 1.5 and not more than 4.5, a particularly superior effect can be obtained.

[0050]

The results of the simulation of the relationship between the ratio ( $L_r$ ) of the lengths of the profile curve and the energy of emergent lights are shown in Fig. 9. In the graph, the horizontal axis indicates the ratio  $\{L_r\}$  of the length of the profile curve to ~~a~~ the length of a straight line defined as an intersection of the base plane and the cross section, and the vertical axis indicates energy of the emergent lights. The points of the first group 901 indicate energies of emergent lights within the range of not more than 6 degrees about the z axis (~~henceforth referred to as~~ hereinafter "emergent lights<sub>6</sub>"), those of the second group 902 indicate energies of emergent lights within the range of not more than 18 degrees about the z axis (~~henceforth referred to as~~ hereinafter "emergent lights<sub>18</sub>"), and those of the third group 903 indicate energies of emergent lights within the range of not more than 30 degrees about the z axis (~~henceforth referred to as~~ hereinafter "emergent lights<sub>30</sub>").

[0051]

In the simulation results, there was observed a tendency ~~that~~ of the ratio of the emergent light<sub>30</sub> to increased as the ratio ( $L_r$ ) of the lengths became larger, but when ~~it became further larger exceeding~~  $L_r$  exceeded a certain level, the ratio conversely decreased. Therefore, a comprehensive index of convexo-concave profiles providing correlation with the emergent light<sub>30</sub> was investigated. As a result, it was found that if the skewness  $P_{sk}$ , as defined in JIS B0601:2001, or the kurtosis,  $P_{ku}$  as defined in JIS

B0601:2001, ~~was used for a~~the profile curve ~~appearing on~~for a rough surface of a light control film, the relation with the emergent light<sub>30</sub> ~~can be~~is best ~~described~~defined.

[0052]

Figs. 10 and 11 show graphs representing the results of the simulation, and both represent change of the emergent light energy with change of the ratio of the lengths  $\{L_r\}$  plotted ~~in~~on the horizontal axis.

From these simulation results, it was found that the energy of emergent lights having an emergent angle of 30 degrees or less tended to sharply increase when the ratio of the lengths  $\{L_r\}$  was not less than 1.1 and not more than 1.8, whereas there were some cases where the ~~rate~~portion of the emergent light<sub>30</sub> did not become high even if the ratio of the lengths  $\{L_r\}$  was within the aforementioned range. However, it was found that for ~~if only the results obtained with an absolute value of the skewness ( $P_{sk}$ ) of the profile curve not more than 1.2 (points of "●" 904 in Fig. 10) were observed~~, the ~~rate~~portion of the emergent light<sub>30</sub> was always high. Moreover, it was found that for ~~if only the results obtained with a kurtosis ( $P_{ku}$ ) of the profile curve not less than 1.0 and not more than 4.5 (points of "●" 905 in Fig. 11) were observed~~, the ~~rate~~portion of the emergent light<sub>30</sub> was always high.

[0053]

When the ratio of the lengths  $\{L_r\}$  is not less than 1.1 and not more than 1.8, preferably not less than 1.2 and not more than 1.7, more preferably not less than 1.3 and not more than 1.6, if the absolute value of the skewness  $\{P_{sk}\}$  of the profile curve is not more than 1.2, preferably not more than 1.1, or the kurtosis ( $P_{ku}$ ) of the profile curve is not less than 1.0 and not more than 4.5, preferably not less than 1.0 and not more than 4.0, a

particularly superior effect can be obtained.

[0054]

The ~~conditions~~ criteria described above must be satisfied for substantially ~~any~~ all ~~cross-sections~~. The expression "substantially ~~any~~ all ~~cross-sections~~" ~~is used to mean~~ that it is sufficient that the ~~conditions should be~~ foregoing criteria are satisfied for almost all of multiple observed ~~cross-sections when observation is performed for multiple cross-sections for~~ a certain specific light control film, and it include inclusive of a case ~~that where~~ the ~~conditions~~ criteria are not satisfied for one or two ~~cross~~ cross-sections. For example, for a ~~cross~~ cross-section in an end portion of the light control film ~~is considered as the cross section~~, the aforementioned ~~conditions~~ criteria may not be satisfied, because of an insufficient number of convex and concave shapes ~~convexoconcaves do not exist in a sufficient number~~. However, if the ~~aforementioned conditions are~~ satisfied for a comparatively long profile curve, ~~it is considered that~~ the aforementioned ~~conditions are~~ criteria should be satisfied.

[0055]

In the aforementioned simulation for ~~finding~~ identifying the ~~conditions~~ criteria which the rough surface of the present invention must satisfy, the convex ~~portions~~ shapes were assumed to ~~consist~~ be formed of a material having a refractive index of 1.5. However, materials generally used for optical films can be used for the patterned layer of the light control film of the present invention, and the refractive index thereof is not limited to 1.5. If the ~~condition~~ criterion is ~~generalized~~ expressed in consideration terms of the refractive index  $n$ , when the average of absolute values of slope of the profile

curve  $\langle \theta_{ave} \rangle$  is not less than  $(36 - 10n)$  degrees and not more than  $(86 - 10n)$  degrees, and the absolute value of skewness of the profile curve is not more than  $(n - 0.4)$  or the kurtosis of the profile curve is not less than 1.5 and not more than  $(10n - 11.5)$ , the aforementioned desired effect can be obtained. Further, when the ratio of the lengths  $\langle L_r \rangle$  is not less than  $(1.9 - 0.5n)$  and not more than 1.8, and the absolute value of skewness of the profile curve is not more than  $(n - 0.4)$  or the kurtosis of the profile curve is not less than 1.0 and not more than  $(10n - 11.5)$ , the ~~aforementioned~~ desired effect can also be obtained.

[0056]

By designing the convexo-concave profile ~~in-taking~~ into consideration ~~of the~~ refractive index of the material ~~constituting of~~ the patterned layer as described above, the luminance ~~for in~~ the front direction can be further improved.

[0057]

By designing the rough surface so that it ~~should~~ satisfy-satisfies the aforementioned ~~conditions~~ criteria, the light control film of the present invention ~~can~~ will exhibit high front luminance, and ~~have~~ provide a certain degree of light diffusing property of a certain diffusion-degree. The light control film of the present invention ~~having such characteristics~~ meeting the foregoing criteria is disposed, for example, directly on a light guide plate of a backlight unit of the edge light type, or via a light ~~diffusive~~ diffusion member on a light source of a backlight unit of the direct type, and used as a film for controlling the direction of emergent lights of the backlight unit.

[0058]

So long as the profile curves of the rough surface of

the light control film of the present invention ~~satisfy~~  
~~satisfy~~ the aforementioned ~~conditions~~criteria, the shape  
 and arrangement of the convex ~~portions~~projections are not  
 particularly limited. However, the convex ~~portions~~  
~~projections~~ and concave ~~portions~~recesses are preferably  
 randomly arranged. If a random arrangement is used, it  
 becomes easy to satisfy the aforementioned ~~conditions~~  
~~criteria~~ for substantially any section (substantially all  
sections), and generation of an interference pattern ~~can~~  
~~be~~ easily prevented. Individual convex portions and  
 concave portions may have the same shape or different  
 shapes, and they may ~~be arranged so that they should overlap~~  
 with one another, ~~or a.i.e.~~ part of all of the convex  
 portions and concave portions ~~should~~may overlap with one  
 another. The height of the convex portions and depth of  
 the concave portions are both about 3 to 100  $\mu\text{m}$ , and  
~~arrangement~~the density of the convex portions or the  
 concave portions is preferably about 10 to 200,000  
 portions/ $\text{mm}^2$ . A typical rough surface of the light control  
 film satisfying the aforementioned ~~conditions~~criteria is  
 shown in Fig. 12.

[0059]

Hereinafter, specific configurations for ~~producing~~  
 the light control film having the ~~aforementioned~~ above-  
described rough surface will be explained.

[0060]

~~As the material constituting~~ The substrate 11 and  
 the patterned layer 12 of the light control film 10 of the  
 present invention, may be formed of any materials generally  
 used for optical films ~~can be used~~. Specifically, the  
 material for the substrate 11 is not especially limited so  
 long as ~~a material exhibiting~~ it exhibits favorable light  
 transmission ~~property is chosen~~, and plastic films such as



~~these films~~ of polyethylene terephthalate, polybutylene terephthalate, polyethylene naphthalate, polycarbonate, polyethylene, polypropylene, polystyrene, triacetyl cellulose, polyacrylate, polyvinyl chloride, and so forth can be used.

[0061]

The material for ~~constituting~~ the patterned layer 12 is not also especially limited so long as ~~a material exhibiting~~ it exhibits favorable light transmission property ~~is chosen, and glass.~~ Thus, polymer resins, and so forth can be used. Examples of ~~the suitable~~ glass include oxide glass such as silicate glass, phosphate glass, and borate glass. Examples of ~~the suitable~~ polymer resins include thermoplastic resins, thermosetting resins, and ionizing radiation curable resins such as polyester resins, acrylic resins, acrylic urethane resins, polyester acrylate resins, polyurethane acrylate resins, epoxy acrylate resins, urethane resins, epoxy resins, polycarbonate resins, cellulose resins, acetal resins, vinyl resins, polyethylene resins, polystyrene resins, polypropylene resins, polyamide resins, polyimide resins, melamine resins, phenol resins, silicone resins, and fluorocarbon resins, ~~and so forth.~~

[0062]

Among ~~these materials,~~ polymer resins, are those preferred in view of workability and handling property, and those having a refractive index (JIS K7142:1996) of about 1.3 to 1.7 are especially preferably used. Even if a material having a refractive index  $n$  out of the aforementioned range is used ~~as a material constituting for~~ the patterned layer, favorable luminance can be realized so long as the aforementioned conditions (A1 OR A2) AND (B1 OR B2) are satisfied. However, by using a material having a refractive index within such a range, high luminance can be

obtained. In particular, by designing the rough surface ~~so that it should~~to satisfy the conditions (A1' OR A2') AND (B1 OR B2), defined depending on the refractive index of the material, front luminance can be further improved.

[0063]

Although the patterned layer 12 may comprise light diffusing agents such as beads of organic materials and inorganic pigments, like ~~general conventional~~ light diffusive sheets, ~~they such diffusing agents~~ are not indispensable. ~~The because the~~ light control film of the present invention can exertproduces a light diffusing effect to a certain degree by the rough surface itself, even if it does not ~~comprise~~contain light diffusing agents. If light diffusing agents are not used, ~~other members~~ are adjacent elements will not be damaged by the light diffusing agents, and or dust will not be generated from the light diffusing agents ~~do not separate and fall to generate dusts.~~

[0064]

~~As the method for forming t~~The patterned layer 12, is preferably formed by 1) ~~a method of using an embossing roller, 2) a method of using an etching treatment, and or~~ 3) ~~a method of using molding with a mold can be employed.~~ However, ~~a production method of using a mold~~molding is preferred, because it enables production of light control films having a predetermined patterned layer with good reproducibility. Specifically, the ~~production-patterned layer 12 can be attained~~obtained by preparing a mold having a profile complementary to that of the rough surface, casting a material ~~constituting the patterned layer~~ such as a polymer resins into the mold, curing the material, and taking out the cured material from the mold. When a substrate is used, the ~~production-patterned layer 12 can be~~

~~attained~~ obtained by casting a polymer resin or the like into the mold, superimposing a transparent substrate thereon, curing the polymer resin ~~or the like~~, and taking out the cured material together with the transparent substrate from the mold.

[0065]

Although the method of forming a profile complementary to the rough surface in the mold is not particularly limited, the following method can be employed. For example, convex portions having a specific shape are formed on a plate so that the ~~arrangement~~ density of the portions ~~is should be~~ several thousands ~~portions~~ convex shapes/mm<sup>2</sup> ~~by using~~ a laser microprocessing technique, and this plate is used as a male mold to prepare a female mold ~~for molding (female mold)~~. The ~~convex portions having a specific shape means of the convex portions is such convex portions~~ that when profile curves are measured for one whole convex portion ~~with at~~ at equal intervals of a length that ~~allows correct reflection of~~ correctly reflects the shape of the convex portion (1.0  $\mu\text{m}$  or shorter), the average thereof ~~should satisfy~~ satisfies the conditions (A1 or A2) and (B1 or B2). Alternatively, resin plates having a convex-concave layer are prepared by curing a resin containing particles of a predetermined particle size dispersed therein, the surfaces of the patterned layers are measured by using a surface profiler to choose a resin plate satisfying the aforementioned conditions, and a ~~mold for molding (female mold)~~ is prepared by using the chosen plate as a male mold.

[0066]

Although the surface of the light control film opposite ~~to the surface consisting of the~~ rough surface may be smooth, alternatively it may be subjected to a fine

matting treatment in order to prevent generation of Newton rings when the film is brought into contact with a light guide plate or resin plate, or an antireflection treatment in order to improve light transmittance.

[0067]

Moreover, in order to obtain favorable front luminance, as an optical characteristic of the light control film, the film desirably has a haze of 60% or more, preferably 70% or more. The haze referred to herein is a value ~~of the~~for haze as defined in JIS K7136:2000, and is a ~~value~~ obtained in accordance with the equation: Haze (%) =  $[(\tau_4/\tau_2) - \tau_3(\tau_2/\tau_1)] \times 100$  ( $\tau_1$ : flux of incident light,  $\tau_2$ : total light flux transmitted through a test piece,  $\tau_3$ : light flux diffused in a unit,  $\tau_4$ : light flux diffused in the unit and test piece).

[0068]

Although the total thickness of the light control film is not particularly limited, it is usually about 20 to 300  $\mu\text{m}$ .

[0069]

The light control film of the present invention ~~explained described above is mainly~~may be used as ~~a member of~~in a backlight unit constituting a liquid crystal display, light signboard, ~~and so forth~~or the like.

[0070]

~~Hereafter, the backlight unit of the present invention will be explained.~~ The backlight unit of the present invention consists of at least a light control film and a light source. ~~As~~ wherein the light control film, is the aforementioned light control film ~~is used~~. Although the ~~direction arrangement~~ of the light control film ~~arranged in~~within the backlight unit is not particularly limited, ~~it is preferably used so that~~ the rough surface

~~should preferably~~ serves as a ~~the~~ light emergent surface-side. ~~For t~~The backlight unit, ~~a configuration called~~ may be the edge light type or ~~the~~ direct type ~~is preferably~~ employed.

[0071]

A backlight unit of the edge light type consists of a light guide plate, a light source ~~disposed on~~directed toward at least one ~~end edge surface~~ of the light guide plate, and a light control film disposed on the light emergent surface side of the light guide plate, ~~and so forth~~. The light control film is preferably used ~~so that the~~, with its rough surface ~~should serve~~serving as the light emergent surface. Further, a prism sheet is preferably used between the light guide plate and the light control film. With such a configuration, a backlight unit exhibiting a superior balance of front luminance and a view angle, ~~and not exhibiting without~~ glare, which is a problem peculiar to a prism sheet, can be provided.

[0072]

The light guide plate has a substantially plate-like shape with at least one ~~of which sides serve~~edge surface serving as a light ~~entering-receiving~~ surface and one ~~of which surfaces~~surface perpendicular to the side ~~serves~~surface serving as a light emergent surface, and mainly consists of a matrix resin selected from highly transparent resins such as polymethyl methacrylate. Resin particles having a refractive index different from that of the matrix resin may be added as required. Each surface of the light guide plate ~~may need~~ not be a uniform plane, but ~~has~~ may have a complicated surface profile, or may be ~~subjected to diffusion printing such as~~printed with a dot pattern or the like to provide diffusion.

[0073]

The light source is disposed ~~for~~ directed toward at least one ~~end~~ edge surface of the light guide plate, and is usually a cold-cathode tube ~~is mainly used~~. Examples of the shape of the light source include a linear shape, L-shape, and so forth.

[0074]

Besides the aforementioned light control film, light guide plate, and light source, the backlight unit of the edge light type is provided with a light reflector, a polarization film, an electromagnetic wave shield film etc., depending on ~~the purpose~~ its intended use.

[0075]

One embodiment of the backlight unit of the edge light type according to the present invention is shown in Fig. 13. This backlight unit 140 has ~~a configuration that~~ light sources 142 ~~are provided~~ on both sides of a light guide plate 141, and a light control film 143 is placed ~~upside on~~ the light guide plate 141 ~~so that~~ to provide an outward facing rough surface ~~should be outside~~. The light sources 142 are covered with ~~light source~~ rear reflectors 144 except for ~~the parts~~ an area facing the light guide plate 141 so that lights from the light source ~~should~~ efficiently enters into the light guide plate 141. Moreover, a light reflector 146 ~~stored in~~ within a chassis 145 is provided under the light guide plate 141. ~~By~~ With this configuration, lights emitted from the side of the light guide plate 141 opposite ~~to the emergent side~~ are is returned into the light guide plate 141 ~~again~~ to increase the amount of lights emerging from the emergent surface of the light guide plate 141.

[0076]

A backlight unit of the direct type consists of a light control film, ~~and~~ a light diffusive member and a

light source disposed, in this order, on a surface of the light control film opposite ~~to~~ the light emergent surface, ~~and so forth~~. The light control film is preferably used ~~so that~~ has the rough surface ~~should serve~~ serving as the light emergent surface. Moreover, a prism sheet is preferably ~~used~~ included between the light diffusive member and the light control film. With such a configuration, a backlight unit exhibiting superior balance of front luminance and a view angle ~~and not exhibiting~~, without glare, which is a problem peculiar to a prism sheet, can be provided.

[0077]

The purpose of the light diffusive member is ~~for~~ erasing a pattern to eliminate any image of the light source, ~~and a~~. A milky resin plate, a transparent substrate on which a dot pattern is formed on a portion corresponding to the light source (lighting curtain) ~~as well as~~ or a so-called light diffusing film having a convexo-concave light diffusing layer on a transparent substrate, ~~and so forth~~ can be used individually or in a suitable combination, as the light diffusive member.

[0078]

~~As the~~ The light source, ~~is usually~~ a cold-cathode tube is mainly used. ~~Examples of the~~. The shape of the light source ~~include~~ may have a linear shape or a, L-shape, ~~and so forth~~. ~~Besides~~ In addition to the aforementioned light control film, light diffusive member, and light source, the backlight unit of the direct type may ~~be~~ provided with also include a light reflector, a polarization film, an electromagnetic wave shield film, etc., depending on the purpose intended use.

[0079]

One embodiment of the backlight unit of the direct type according to the present invention is shown in Fig. 14.

This backlight unit 150 has ~~a configuration that~~ plural light sources 152 ~~are~~ provided above a light reflector 156 ~~stored in~~within a chassis 155, and a light control film 153 is placed thereon via a light diffusive member 157 as shown in the drawing.

[0080]

Because the backlight unit of the present invention utilizes a light control film having a specific rough surface ~~as a light control film that controls~~to control direction of lights emitted from ~~a the~~ light source or ~~a the~~ light guide plate, ~~it can improve front luminance is~~improved as compared with conventional backlights, ~~and which suffers from the problems of glare and generation of scratches in less degrees compared with the case of using a prism sheet alone~~scratches.

[Examples]

[0081]

Hereinafter, the present invention will be further explained with reference to examples.

[Examples 1 to 4]

Four ~~kinds of~~ molds (1) to (4) on which predetermined convexo-concave profiles were formed by a laser microprocessing technique were prepared, an ultraviolet curable resin having a refractive index of 1.50 was poured into the molds (1) to (3), and a silicone resin having a refractive index of 1.40 was poured into the mold (4). Subsequently, the ~~poured resins~~poured into the molds were cured, and then ~~taken out~~removed from the molds to obtain light control films (1) to (4) having a size of 23 cm (for the ~~direction~~dimension perpendicular to the light source) x 31 cm (for the ~~direction~~dimension parallel to the light source) (light control films of Examples 1 to 4).

[0082]



Then, surface profiles of the rough surfaces (light emergent surfaces) of the light control films (1) to (4) were measured according to JIS B0601:2001 by using a surface profiler (SAS-2010 SAU-II, MEISHIN KOKI). This surface profiler had a contact finger in the shape of a cone with a spherical tip ~~of which~~ having a radius was of 2  $\mu\text{m}$  and a conical angle of 60 degrees. The measurement interval was 1.0  $\mu\text{m}$ . The measurement was performed at 5 arbitrary ~~5~~ positions on each light control film ~~for~~, in arbitrary directions, and averages of absolute values of slopes to the light entering surface ( $\theta_{\text{ave}}$ ) of the obtained profile curves were calculated. Further, for the same profile curves, values of the skewness ( $P_{\text{sk}}$ ), as defined in JIS B0601:2001, were obtained. The results obtained for the light control films (1) to (4) are shown in Table 1 (the unit of slope is "degree" being in degrees). Further, by using a turbidimeter (NDH2000, Nippon Denshoku), hazes of the light control films (1) to (4) were measured according to JIS K7136:2000. The measurement results of these measurements are ~~also~~ shown in Table 1.

[0083]

[Table 1]

	$\theta_{ave}$ (degree)	$ P_{sk} $	haze (%)
Example 1	43.6	0.916	97.3
	44.1	0.937	
	42.4	0.940	
	44.7	0.958	
	45.4	0.926	
Example 2	38.6	0.595	75.5
	37.5	0.599	
	37.9	0.596	
	38.5	0.613	
	40.4	0.609	
Example 3	25.5	0.055	78.9
	25.6	0.057	
	26.4	0.057	
	24.5	0.057	
	26.6	0.053	
Example 4	38.6	0.645	74.6
	37.7	0.663	
	39.8	0.655	
	37.0	0.622	
	36.8	0.630	

[0084]

As seen from the results shown in Table 1, the light control films of Examples 1 to 4 showed averages of absolute values of slopes not less than 20 degrees and not more than 75 degrees for all the profile curves. Further,

the absolute values of ~~the~~ skewness were not more than 1.2 for all the profile curves. Moreover, all the light control films of Examples 1 to 4 had a haze of 70% or higher, and thus satisfied the optical characteristics required for obtaining favorable front luminance.

[0085]

Then, the light control films (1) to (4) were each incorporated into a 15-inch edge light type backlight unit (one cold-cathode tube was provided ~~for~~ at each of upside and downside edges), and front luminance was measured. That is, the light control films (1) to (4) were each disposed on a light guide plate so that the rough surface ~~should~~ served as the light emergent surface, and the luminance was measured at each emergent angle for lines in the parallel and perpendicular directions with respect to the light source (cold-cathode tubes), which lines were positioned at the center of the backlight unit (1 inch = 2.54 cm). The results obtained for the light control films (1) to (4) are shown in Table 2 (units is ~~is~~ of " $\text{cd/m}^2$ ").

[0086]

[Table 2]

		luminance (cd/m <sup>2</sup> )			
		Example 1	Example 2	Example 3	Example 4
parallel direction	left 45 deg.	1 0 1 0	1 0 3 0	1 1 0 0	1 0 5 0
	left 30 deg.	2 1 6 0	2 1 0 0	1 8 6 0	2 0 2 0
	0 deg.	2 4 6 0	2 3 8 0	2 0 3 0	2 2 6 0
	right 30 deg.	2 1 2 0	2 0 7 0	1 8 4 0	1 9 9 0
	right 45 deg.	9 9 9	1 0 2 0	1 0 9 0	1 0 4 0
perpendicular direction	up 45 deg.	7 1 3	7 7 2	1 0 3 0	8 6 0
	up 30 deg.	2 2 9 0	2 2 4 0	2 0 1 0	2 1 6 0
	0 deg.	2 4 6 0	2 3 8 0	2 0 3 0	2 2 6 0
	down 30 deg.	2 2 7 0	2 2 2 0	2 0 1 0	2 1 5 0
	down 45 deg.	7 0 3	7 6 2	1 0 2 0	8 5 0

[0087]

~~It was demonstrated by t~~The results shown in Table 2 demonstrate that, for the light control films of Examples 1 to 4, ~~only by incorporating only one sheet of light control film into the backlight unit, the luminance for emergent angles of 30 degrees or less could be increased, and thus provide strong light emergent lights could be obtained for in the front direction.~~

[0088]

[Examples 5 to 8]

Four ~~kinds of molds (5) to (8) on which~~with predetermined convexo-concave profiles were formed by a laser microprocessing technique ~~were prepared~~, an ultraviolet curable resin having a refractive index of 1.50 was poured into the molds (5) to (7), and a silicone

resin having a refractive index of 1.40 was poured into the mold (8). Subsequently, the ~~poured-resins~~ in the molds were cured, and then ~~taken-out~~ removed from the molds to obtain light control films (5) to (8) having a size of 23 cm x 31 cm (light control films of Examples 5 to 8).

[0089]

Then, surface profiles of the rough surfaces (light emergent surfaces) of the light control films (5) to (8) were measured according to JIS B0601:2001, in the same manner as that used in Examples 1 to 4. The measurements ~~was~~ were performed at 5 arbitrary 5-positions on each light control film ~~for~~ in arbitrary directions, and averages of absolute values of slopes to the light entering surface of the obtained profile curves ( $\theta_{ave}$ ) were calculated. Further, for the same profile curves, values of the kurtosis ( $P_{ku}$ ) defined in JIS B0601:2001 were obtained. The results obtained for the light control films (5) to (8) are shown in Table 3 (unit of the slope is ~~"degree"~~ given as degrees). Further, by using a turbidimeter (NDH2000, Nippon Denshoku), hazes of the light control films (5) to (8) were measured according to JIS K7136:2000. The measurement results of the measurements ~~are also~~ shown in Table 3.

[0090]

[Table 3]

	$\theta_{ave}$ (degree)	$P_{ku}$	haze (%)
Example 5	42.3	2.590	82.7
	40.8	2.472	
	40.9	2.515	
	43.8	2.580	
	41.6	2.618	
Example 6	38.0	2.260	82.1
	36.9	2.268	
	36.8	2.347	
	38.5	2.320	
	37.1	2.267	
Example 7	24.5	1.925	77.5
	23.9	1.930	
	24.1	1.971	
	24.7	1.962	
	24.7	1.837	
Example 8	25.3	3.885	82.0
	25.9	4.058	
	24.6	3.835	
	25.5	3.697	
	24.6	3.932	

[0091]

As seen from the results shown in Table 3, the light

control films of the examples showed averages of absolute values of slopes not less than 20 degrees and not more than 75 degrees for all the profile curves. Further, the absolute values ~~of the~~for kurtosis were not less than 1.5 and not more than 5.0 for all the profile curves. Moreover, all the light control films of Examples 5 to 8 had a haze of 70% or higher, and thus ~~satisfied~~had the optical characteristics required for obtaining favorable front luminance.

[0092]

Then, the light control films (5) to (8) were each incorporated into a 15-inch edge light type backlight unit (one cold-cathode tube was provided ~~for~~at each of upside and downside edges), and front luminance was measured. That is, the light control films (5) to (8) were each disposed on a light guide plate so that the rough surface ~~should~~served as the light emergent surface, and the luminance was measured at each emergent angle~~r~~ for lines in the parallel and perpendicular directions with respect to the light source (cold-cathode tubes), which lines were positioned at the center of the backlight unit (1 inch = 2.54 cm). The results obtained for the light control films (5) to (8) are shown in Table 4 (unit~~-is-s~~ of " $\text{cd/m}^2$ ").

[0093]

[Table 4]

		luminance (c d / m <sup>2</sup> )			
		Example 5	Example 6	Example 7	Example 8
parallel direction	left 4 5 deg.	1 0 2 0	1 0 5 0	1 1 0 0	1 0 6 0
	left 3 0 deg.	2 1 1 0	2 0 1 0	1 8 4 0	1 9 7 0
	0 deg.	2 3 9 0	2 2 4 0	2 0 0 0	2 1 9 0
	right 3 0 deg.	2 0 7 0	1 9 8 0	1 8 2 0	1 9 4 0
	right 4 5 deg.	1 0 1 0	1 0 4 0	1 0 9 0	1 0 5 0
perpendicular direction	up 4 5 deg.	7 6 4	8 7 5	1 0 5 0	9 1 1
	up 3 0 deg.	2 2 4 0	2 1 5 0	1 9 9 0	2 1 2 0
	0 deg.	2 3 9 0	2 2 4 0	2 0 0 0	2 1 9 0
	down 3 0 deg.	2 2 3 0	2 1 4 0	1 9 8 0	2 1 1 0
	down 4 5 deg.	7 5 4	8 6 5	1 0 4 0	9 0 1

[0094]

~~It was demonstrated by t~~The results shown in Table 4 demonstrate that, for the light control films of Examples 5 to 8, ~~only~~by incorporating only one sheet of light control film into the backlight unit, the luminance for emergent ~~angles~~light at an angle of 30 degrees or less ~~could be~~is increased, and thus strong emergent lights could be obtained ~~for~~in the front direction.

[0095]

[Comparative Examples 1 to 3]

~~Three kinds of~~ molds (9) to (11) on which predetermined convexo-concave profiles were formed by a laser microprocessing technique were prepared, and an ultraviolet curable resin having a refractive index of 1.50 was poured into the molds. Subsequently, the poured



resin was cured, and then ~~taken out~~removed from the molds to obtain light control films (9) to (11) having a size of 23 cm x 31 cm (light control films of Comparative Examples 1 to 3).

[0096]

Then, surface profiles of the rough surfaces (light emergent surfaces) of the light control films (9) to (11) were measured according to JIS B0601:2001, in the same manner as that used in Examples 1 to 4. For the obtained profile curves, averages of absolute values of slopes to the light entering surface ( $\theta_{ave}$ ) were calculated. Further, for the same profile curves, values of ~~the~~ skewness ( $P_{sk}$ ), as defined in JIS B0601:2001, were obtained. The results obtained for the light control films (9) to (11) are shown in Table 5 (unit of slope ~~is~~ "degree" in degrees).

[0097]

[Table 5]

	$\theta_{ave}$ (degree)	$ P_{sk} $	haze (%)
Comparative Example 1	31.9	1.261	80.6
	32.8	1.251	
	32.5	1.310	
	31.8	1.303	
	33.0	1.229	
Comparative Example 2	25.1	1.755	72.7
	25.6	1.673	
	24.6	1.719	
	25.5	1.759	
	25.4	1.786	
Comparative Example 3	20.3	2.159	68.0
	20.8	2.221	
	20.4	2.123	
	20.3	2.185	
	21.2	2.130	

[0098]

As seen from the results shown in Table 5, the light control films of Comparative Examples 1 to 3 showed averages of absolute values of slopes not less than 20 degrees and not more than 75 degrees for all the profile curves. However, the absolute values of ~~the skewness was~~

were more than 1.2 for all the profile curves.

[0099]

Then, the light control films (9) to (11) were each incorporated into a 15-inch edge light type backlight unit (one cold-cathode tube was provided ~~for~~at each of upside and downside edges), and front luminance was measured. That is, the light control films (9) to (11) were each disposed on a light guide plate so that the rough surface of the light control film ~~should serve as~~was the light emergent surface, and the luminance was measured at each emergent angle~~r~~ for lines in the parallel and perpendicular directions with respect to the light source (cold-cathode tubes), which lines were positioned at the center of the backlight unit (1 inch = 2.54 cm). The results obtained for the light control films (9) to (11) are shown in Table 6.

[0100]

[Table 6]

		luminance (c d / m <sup>2</sup> )		
		Comparative Example 1	Comparative Example 2	Comparative Example 3
parallel direction	left 4 5 deg.	1 2 2 0	1 2 3 0	1 2 4 0
	left 3 0 deg.	1 4 7 0	1 4 3 0	1 3 8 0
	0 deg.	1 4 4 0	1 3 9 0	1 3 2 0
	right 3 0 deg.	1 4 6 0	1 4 3 0	1 3 8 0
	right 4 5 deg.	1 2 1 0	1 2 2 0	1 2 3 0
perpendicular direction	up 4 5 deg.	1 4 6 0	1 5 0 0	1 5 5 0
	up 3 0 deg.	1 6 3 0	1 6 0 0	1 5 5 0
	0 deg.	1 4 4 0	1 3 9 0	1 3 2 0
	down 3 0 deg.	1 6 4 0	1 6 1 0	1 5 7 0
	down 4 5 deg.	1 4 5 0	1 4 9 0	1 5 4 0

[0101]

~~It was found from~~ The results shown in Table 6 demonstrate that when the light control films of Comparative Examples 1 to 3 were incorporated into the backlight unit, front luminance was not sufficient.

[0102]

[Comparative Examples 4 to 6]

~~Three kinds of molds (12) to (14) with on which~~ predetermined convexo-concave profiles were formed by a laser microprocessing technique ~~were prepared~~, and an ultraviolet curable resin having a refractive index of 1.50 was poured into the molds. Subsequently, the poured

resin was cured, and then ~~taken out~~removed from the molds to obtain light control films (12) to (14) having a size of 23 cm x 31 cm (light control films of Comparative Examples 4 to 6).

[0103]

Then, surface profiles of the rough surfaces (light emergent surfaces) of the light control films (12) to (14) were measured according to JIS B0601:2001, in the same manner as that used in the examples. For the obtained profile curves, averages of absolute values of slopes to the light entering surface ( $\theta_{ave}$ ) were calculated. Further, for the same profile curves, values ~~of the~~for kurtosis ( $P_{ku}$ ), as defined in JIS B0601:2001, were obtained. The results obtained for the light control films (12) to (14) are shown in Table 7 (units of slope is ~~"degree"~~in degrees).

[0104]

[Table 7]

	$\theta_{ave}$ (degree)	$P_{ku}$	haze (%)
Comparative Example 4	21. 2	7. 7 2 0	73. 2
	21. 3	7. 9 1 8	
	21. 0	8. 0 4 2	
	20. 3	7. 3 4 9	
	20. 6	7. 6 0 0	
Comparative Example 5	25. 1	1. 3 5 1	75. 8
	25. 7	1. 3 4 7	
	24. 4	1. 3 0 6	
	25. 7	1. 4 1 6	
	24. 8	1. 2 9 9	
Comparative Example 6	31. 2	5. 8 8 5	77. 1
	32. 3	5. 8 0 9	
	30. 0	6. 0 0 2	
	30. 3	5. 7 5 9	
	30. 8	5. 6 7 2	

[0105]

As seen from the results shown in Table 7, the light control films of Comparative Examples 4 to 6 showed averages of absolute values of slopes not less than 20 degrees and not more than 75 degrees for all the profile curves. However, the absolute values ~~of the~~for kurtosis were less than 1.5 or more than 5.0 for all the profile

curves.

[0106]

Then, the light control films (12) to (14) were each incorporated into a 15-inch edge light type backlight unit (one cold-cathode tube was provided ~~for~~at each of upside and downside edges), and front luminance was measured. That is, the light control films (12) to (14) were each disposed on a light guide plate ~~so that~~with the rough surface of the light control film ~~should serve~~ as the light emergent surface, and the luminance was measured at each emergent angle~~r~~ for lines in the parallel and perpendicular directions with respect to the light source (cold-cathode tubes), which lines were positioned at the center of the backlight unit (1 inch = 2.54 cm). The results obtained for the light control films (12) to (14) are shown in Table 8 (as units ~~is in~~ " $\text{cd/m}^2$ ").

[0107]

[Table 8]

		luminance (c d / m <sup>2</sup> )		
		Comparative Example 4	Comparative Example 5	Comparative Example 6
parallel direction	left 4 5 deg.	1 2 4 0	1 1 9 0	1 2 3 0
	left 3 0 deg.	1 3 9 0	1 5 4 0	1 4 3 0
	0 deg.	1 3 3 0	1 5 5 0	1 3 9 0
	right 3 0 deg.	1 3 9 0	1 5 3 0	1 4 3 0
	right 4 5 deg.	1 2 3 0	1 1 9 0	1 2 2 0
perpendicular direction	up 4 5 deg.	1 5 4 0	1 3 8 0	1 4 9 0
	up 3 0 deg.	1 5 6 0	1 7 0 0	1 6 1 0
	0 deg.	1 3 3 0	1 5 5 0	1 3 9 0
	down 3 0 deg.	1 5 8 0	1 7 1 0	1 6 2 0
	down 4 5 deg.	1 5 3 0	1 3 7 0	1 4 8 0

[0108]

It can be seen from the results shown in Table 8 that when the light control films of Comparative Examples 4 to 6 were incorporated into the backlight unit, front luminance was not sufficient.

[0109]

[Comparative Examples 7 and 8]

For commercially available light diffusive sheets (Comparative Examples 7 and 8), surface profiles of rough surfaces (light emergent surfaces) were measured at 5 arbitrary ~~5~~ positions on each sheet, in the same manner as that used in the examples, and averages of absolute values



of slopes of the profile curves ( $\theta_{ave}$ ) were obtained.

Further, for the same profile curves, ~~the~~ skewness ( $P_{sk}$ ) and ~~the~~ kurtosis ( $P_{ku}$ ) were calculated. The results are shown in Table 9.

[0110]

[Table 9]

	$\theta_{ave}$ (degree)	$ P_{sk} $	$P_{ku}$
Comparative Example 7	17.1	0.131	3.329
	17.2	0.130	3.277
	16.8	0.133	3.482
	16.9	0.126	3.261
	17.2	0.135	3.422
Comparative Example 8	10.9	0.752	3.673
	10.7	0.750	3.813
	10.5	0.736	3.618
	10.9	0.747	3.736
	11.1	0.736	3.691

[0111]

As seen from the results shown in Table 9, the light diffusive sheets of Comparative Examples 7 and 8 ~~were those that could not provide an average~~ had averages for of absolute values of slopes outside of the range of not less than 20 degrees and not more than 75 degrees at all the

measurement points.

[0112]

Then, the light diffusive sheets of Comparative Examples 7 and 8 were each incorporated into a 15-inch edge light type backlight unit (one cold-cathode tube was provided ~~for~~ at each of upside and downside edges), and front luminance was measured. That is, the light diffusive sheets of Comparative Examples 7 and 8 were each disposed on a light guide plate so that the rough surface of the light diffusive sheet ~~should serve~~ was as the light emergent surface, and the luminance was measured at each emergent angle ~~r~~ for lines in the parallel and perpendicular directions with respect to the light source (cold-cathode tubes) , which lines were positioned at the center of the backlight unit (1 inch = 2.54 cm). The results are shown in Table 10.

[0113]

[Table 10]

		luminance (cd/m <sup>2</sup> )	
		Comparative Example 7	Comparative Example 8
parallel direction	left 4 5 deg.	1 1 9 0	1 2 6 0
	left 3 0 deg.	1 5 6 0	1 3 3 0
	0 deg.	1 5 8 0	1 2 4 0
	right 3 0 deg.	1 5 5 0	1 3 3 0
	right 4 5 deg.	1 1 8 0	1 2 5 0
perpendicular direction	up 4 5 deg.	1 3 6 0	1 6 1 0
	up 3 0 deg.	1 7 2 0	1 5 0 0
	0 deg.	1 5 8 0	1 2 4 0
	down 3 0 deg.	1 7 3 0	1 5 2 0
	down 4 5 deg.	1 3 5 0	1 6 0 0

[0114]

As seen from the results shown in Table 10, when the conventional light diffusive sheets were incorporated into the backlight unit, favorable front luminance could not be obtained.

[0115]

[Examples 9 to 12]

Four ~~kinds of molds~~ (15) to (18) ~~on which having~~ predetermined convexo-concave profiles were formed by a laser microprocessing ~~technique were prepared~~, an

ultraviolet curable resin having a refractive index of 1.50 was poured into the molds (15) to (17), and a silicone resin having a refractive index of 1.40 was poured into the mold (18). Subsequently, the poured resins were cured, and then ~~taken out~~removed from the molds to obtain light control films (15) to (18) having a size of 23 cm (for the direction perpendicular to the light source) x 31 cm (for the direction parallel to the light source) (light control films of Examples 9 to 12).

[0116]

Then, surface profiles of the rough surfaces (light emergent surfaces) of the light control films (15) to (18) were measured according to JIS B0601:2001, ~~by using~~ a surface profiler (SAS-2010 SAU-II, MEISHIN KOKI). This surface profiler had a contact finger in the shape of a cone with a spherical tip ~~of which~~with a radius was of 2  $\mu\text{m}$  and a conical angle of 60 degrees. The measurement interval was 1.0  $\mu\text{m}$ .

[0117]

The measurement was performed at 5 arbitrary ~~5~~ positions on each light control film ~~for in~~in arbitrary directions, lengths of the obtained profile curves ( $L_2$ ) were measured, and ratios ~~( $L_r$ ) thereof to the lengths of bases of the sections ( $L_1$ )~~ ( $L_r = L_2/L_1$ ) were calculated. Further, for the same profile curves, values ~~of the~~for skewness ( $P_{sk}$ ), as defined in JIS B0601:2001, were obtained. The results obtained for the light control films (15) to (18) are shown in Table 11 (units of slope is "degree" in degrees). Further, by using a turbidimeter (NDH2000, Nippon Denshoku), hazes of the light control films (15) to (18) were measured according to JIS K7136:2000. The measurement results are also shown in Table 11.

[0118]

[Table 11]

	$L_r$	$ P_{sk} $	haze (%)
Example 9	1. 6 7 7	0. 0 9 7	8 2. 7
	1. 6 3 0	0. 0 9 7	
	1. 6 5 4	0. 0 9 5	
	1. 6 5 0	0. 1 0 1	
	1. 6 6 1	0. 0 9 4	
Example 10	1. 3 9 2	0. 2 4 8	8 2. 1
	1. 3 3 0	0. 2 3 7	
	1. 3 6 0	0. 2 5 3	
	1. 3 4 1	0. 2 5 1	
	1. 3 4 6	0. 2 3 7	
Example 11	1. 2 6 5	0. 4 6 1	9 6. 5
	1. 2 1 5	0. 4 8 3	
	1. 2 0 2	0. 4 3 9	
	1. 2 6 2	0. 4 5 5	
	1. 2 5 4	0. 4 5 9	
Example 12	1. 4 5 5	0. 1 2 0	8 2. 5
	1. 4 8 9	0. 1 2 6	
	1. 4 5 0	0. 1 1 7	
	1. 5 1 3	0. 1 2 6	
	1. 4 5 7	0. 1 2 1	

[0119]

As seen from the results shown in Table 11, the light control films of Examples 9 to 12 showed ratios of the

~~lengths~~ ( $L_r$ ) of not less than 1.1 and not more than 1.8 for all the profile curves. Further, the absolute values of ~~the~~ skewness were not more than 1.2 for all the profile curves. Moreover, all the light control films of Examples 9 to 12 had a haze of 70% or higher, and thus ~~satisfied~~ had the optical characteristics required for obtaining favorable front luminance.

[0120]

Then, the light control films (15) to (18) were each incorporated into a 15-inch edge light type backlight unit (one cold-cathode tube was provided ~~for~~ at each of upside and downside edges), and front luminance was measured. That is, the light control films (15) to (18) were each disposed on a light guide plate so that the rough surface ~~should serve as~~ was the light emergent surface, and the luminance was measured at each emergent angle ~~for~~ for lines in the parallel and perpendicular directions with respect to the light source (cold-cathode tubes), which lines were positioned at the center of the backlight unit (1 inch = 2.54 cm). The results obtained for the light control films (15) to (18) are shown in Table 12 (units ~~is~~ are "cd/m<sup>2</sup>").

[0121]

[Table 12]

		luminance (c d/m <sup>2</sup> )			
		Example 9	Example 10	Example 11	Example 12
parallel direction	L 45 <sub>deg.</sub>	1 0 9 0	1 0 9 0	1 1 1 0	1 1 1 0
	L 30 <sub>deg.</sub>	1 8 2 0	1 8 0 0	1 7 5 0	1 7 7 0
	0 <sub>deg.</sub>	1 9 2 0	1 9 0 0	1 8 3 0	1 8 5 0
	R 30 <sub>deg.</sub>	1 8 0 0	1 7 9 0	1 7 4 0	1 7 5 0
	R 45 <sub>deg.</sub>	1 1 0 0	1 1 0 0	1 1 2 0	1 1 0 0
perpendicular direction	U 45 <sub>deg.</sub>	1 0 6 0	1 0 7 0	1 1 3 0	1 1 1 0
	U 30 <sub>deg.</sub>	1 9 5 0	1 9 3 0	1 8 8 0	1 9 0 0
	0 <sub>deg.</sub>	1 9 2 0	1 9 0 0	1 8 3 0	1 8 5 0
	D 30 <sub>deg.</sub>	1 9 5 0	1 9 3 0	1 9 0 0	1 9 0 0
	D 45 <sub>deg.</sub>	1 0 9 0	1 1 1 0	1 1 6 0	1 1 4 0

[0122]

~~It was demonstrated by t~~The results shown in Table 12 demonstrate that, for the light control films of Examples 9 to 12, only by incorporating only one sheet of light control film into the backlight unit, the luminance for emergent anglers of 30 degrees or less could be increased, and thus strong emergent lights ~~could be obtained for was~~ emitted in the front direction.

[0123]

[Examples 13 to 16]

~~Four kinds of molds (19) to (22) on which with~~ predetermined convexo-concave profiles were formed by a laser microprocessing ~~technique were prepared~~, an ultraviolet curable resin having a refractive index of 1.50

was poured into the molds (19) to (21), and a silicone resin having a refractive index of 1.40 was poured into the mold (22). Subsequently, the poured resins were cured, and then ~~taken out~~removed from the molds to obtain light control films (19) to (22) having a size of 23 cm x 31 cm (light control films of Examples 13 to 16).

[0124]

Then, surface profiles of the rough surfaces (light emergent surface) of the light control films (19) to (22) were measured according to JIS B0601:2001, in the same manner as that used in Examples 1 to 4. The measurement was performed at 5 arbitrary ~~5~~ positions on each light control film ~~for~~in arbitrary directions, lengths of the obtained profile curves ( $L_2$ ) were measured, and ratios  $\{L_r\}$  thereof to the lengths of bases of the sections  $\{L_1\}$ , i.e.  $\{L_r = L_2/L_1\}$  were calculated. Further, for the same profile curves, values of ~~the~~ kurtosis ( $P_{ku}$ ), as defined in JIS B0601:2001 were obtained. The results obtained for the light control films (19) to (22) are shown in Table 13 (unit of slope is in "degrees"). Further, by using a turbidimeter (NDH2000, Nippon Denshoku), hazes of the light control films (19) to (22) were measured according to JIS K7136:2000. The results of these measurements ~~results~~ ~~are also~~ shown in Table 13.

[0125]

[Table 13]



	$L_r$	$P_{ku}$	haze (%)
Example 13	1. 6 8 5	1. 6 5 1	8 2. 3
	1. 6 7 9	1. 6 5 1	
	1. 7 6 1	1. 7 0 0	
	1. 6 5 7	1. 6 2 4	
	1. 6 8 2	1. 6 7 6	
Example 14	1. 3 7 6	4. 0 2 3	7 6. 2
	1. 3 2 6	4. 0 3 2	
	1. 3 3 3	3. 8 4 8	
	1. 3 1 6	4. 1 4 1	
	1. 4 1 8	3. 9 4 2	
Example 15	1. 2 8 8	2. 1 4 6	8 2. 6
	1. 2 5 0	2. 2 0 6	
	1. 2 6 1	2. 1 4 8	
	1. 2 7 5	2. 2 4 8	
	1. 2 7 6	2. 0 9 9	
Example 16	1. 3 2 6	2. 2 6 0	9 4. 3
	1. 3 9 1	2. 3 4 3	
	1. 3 8 1	2. 1 9 7	
	1. 3 6 5	2. 2 4 4	
	1. 3 2 3	2. 3 7 2	

[0126]

As seen from the results shown in Table 13, the light control films of the examples showed ratios of the lengths

$\langle L_r \rangle$  not less than 1.1 and not more than 1.8 for all the profile curves. Further, the absolute values of ~~the~~ kurtosis were not less than 1.0 and not more than 4.5 for all the profile curves. Moreover, all the light control films of Examples 13 to 16 had a haze of 70% or higher, and thus ~~satisfied~~ had the optical characteristics required for obtaining favorable front luminance.

[0127]

Then, the light control films (19) to (22) were each incorporated into a 15-inch edge light type backlight unit (one cold-cathode tube was provided ~~for~~ at each of upside and downside edges), and front luminance was measured. That is, the light control films (19) to (22) were each disposed on a light guide plate so that the rough surface ~~should serve as~~ was the light emergent surface, and the luminance was measured at each emergent angle ~~for~~ for lines in the parallel and perpendicular directions with respect to the light source (cold-cathode tubes), which lines were positioned at the center of the backlight unit (1 inch = 2.54 cm). The results obtained for the light control films (19) to (22) are shown in Table 14 (units ~~is~~ is in "cd/m<sup>2</sup>").

[0128]

[Table 14]

		luminance (c d/m <sup>2</sup> )			
		Example13	Example14	Example15	Example16
parallel direction	Left 45 deg.	1 1 4 0	1 1 3 0	1 1 3 0	1 0 7 0
	Left 30 deg.	1 6 6 0	1 6 9 0	1 6 9 0	1 8 8 0
	0 deg.	1 7 0 0	1 7 4 0	2 0 1 0	1 8 7 0
	Right 30 deg.	1 6 3 0	1 6 8 0	1 6 7 0	1 8 6 0
	Right 45 deg.	1 1 5 0	1 1 4 0	1 1 4 0	1 0 8 0
perpendicular direction	Up 45 deg.	1 2 4 0	1 2 0 0	1 2 0 0	9 8 4
	Up 30 deg.	1 8 0 0	1 8 3 0	1 8 3 0	2 0 1 0
	0 deg.	1 7 0 0	1 7 4 0	2 0 1 0	1 8 7 0
	Down 30 deg.	1 8 1 0	1 8 4 0	1 8 3 0	2 0 0 0
	Down 45 deg.	1 2 6 0	1 2 3 0	1 2 2 0	1 0 2 0

[0129]

~~It was demonstrated by t~~The results shown in Table 14 show that, for the light control films of Examples 13 to 16, ~~only by incorporating only one sheet of light control film into the backlight unit, the luminance for emergent anglers of 30 degrees or less could be increased, and thus strong emergent lights could be obtained for~~ is emitted in the front direction.

[0130]

[Comparative Examples 9 to 11]

Three ~~kinds of molds (23) to (25) on which~~with predetermined convexo-concave profiles ~~were formed by a laser microprocessing technique~~were prepared, and an ultraviolet curable resin having a refractive index of 1.50 was poured into the molds. Subsequently, the poured resin was cured, and then ~~taken out~~removed from the molds to

obtain light control films (23) to (25) having a size of 23 cm x 31 cm (light control films of Comparative Examples 9 to 11).

[0131]

Then, surface profiles of the rough surfaces (light emergent surfaces) of the light control films (23) to (25) were measured according to JIS B0601:2001, in the same manner as that used in Examples 1 to 4. The lengths of the obtained profile curves  $\{L_2\}$  were measured, and ratios  $\{L_r\}$  thereof to the lengths of bases of the sections  $\{L_1\}$ , i.e.  $\{L_r = L_2/L_1\}$ , were calculated. Further, for the same profile curves, values ~~of the~~for skewness ( $P_{sk}$ ), as defined in JIS B0601:2001, were obtained. The results obtained for the light control films (23) to (25) are shown in Table 15 (~~unit of slope is "degree"~~units in "degrees").

[0132]

[Table 15]

	$L_r$	$ P_{sk} $	haze (%)
Comparative Example 9	1. 2 0 2	1. 2 6 1	81. 5
	1. 1 4 3	1. 2 3 6	
	1. 1 6 1	1. 3 0 2	
	1. 1 6 2	1. 2 6 1	
	1. 2 3 4	1. 3 0 4	
Comparative Example 10	1. 1 4 1	1. 7 5 5	60. 8
	1. 1 8 6	1. 7 4 1	
	1. 1 1 3	1. 7 8 5	
	1. 1 6 6	1. 7 0 8	
	1. 1 3 0	1. 7 1 9	
Comparative Example 11	1. 1 2 1	2. 1 5 9	64. 4
	1. 1 5 3	2. 2 4 6	
	1. 1 6 8	2. 6 5 5	
	1. 1 4 3	2. 2 4 3	
	1. 1 7 0	2. 2 2 5	

[0133]

As seen from the results shown in Table 15, the light control films of Comparative Examples 9 to 11 ~~showed~~ had ratios of the lengths ( $L_r$ ) not less than 1.1 and not more than 1.8 for all the profile curves. However, the absolute values of the skewness were more than 1.2 for all the profile curves.

[0134]

Then, the light control films (23) to (25) were each incorporated into a 15-inch edge light type backlight unit (one cold-cathode tube was provided ~~for~~ at each of upside and downside edges), and front luminance was measured. That is, the light control films (23) to (25) were each disposed on a light guide plate so that the rough surface of the light control film ~~should serve as~~ was the light emergent surface, and the luminance was measured at each emergent angle ~~for~~ for lines in the parallel and perpendicular directions with respect to the light source (cold-cathode tubes), which lines were positioned at the center of the backlight unit (1 inch = 2.54 cm). The results obtained for the light control films (23) to (25) are shown in Table 16.

[0135]

[Table 16]

		luminance (c d / m <sup>2</sup> )		
		Comparative Example 9	Comparative Example 10	Comparative Example 11
parallel direction	left 45 deg.	1 2 1 0	1 2 5 0	1 2 6 0
	left 30 deg.	1 4 8 0	1 3 8 0	1 3 4 0
	0 deg.	1 4 5 0	1 3 0 0	1 2 4 0
	right 30 deg.	1 4 7 0	1 3 7 0	1 3 3 0
	right 45 deg.	1 2 0 0	1 2 4 0	1 2 1 0
perpendicular direction	up 45 deg.	1 4 4 0	1 5 6 0	1 6 0 0
	up 30 deg.	1 6 4 0	1 5 3 0	1 5 1 0
	0 deg.	1 4 5 0	1 3 0 0	1 2 4 0
	down 30 deg.	1 6 5 0	1 5 6 0	1 5 0 0
	down 45 deg.	1 4 4 0	1 5 5 0	1 5 9 0

[0136]

~~It was found from t~~The results shown in Table 16 show that when the light control films of Comparative Examples 9 to 11 were incorporated into the backlight unit, front luminance was not sufficient.

[0137]

[Comparative Examples 12 to 14]

Three ~~kinds of~~ molds (26) to (28) ~~on which~~with predetermined convexo-concave profiles were formed by a laser microprocessing ~~technique were prepared~~, and an ultraviolet curable resin having a refractive index of 1.50 was poured into the molds. Subsequently, the poured resin was cured, and then ~~taken out~~removed from the molds to obtain light control films (26) to (28) having a size of

23 cm x 31 cm (light control films of Comparative Examples 12 to 14).

[0138]

Then, surface profiles of the rough surfaces (light emergent surfaces) of the light control films (26) to (28) were measured according to JIS B0601:2001, in the same manner as that used in the examples. The lengths of the obtained profile curves  $\{L_2\}$  were measured, and ratios  $\{L_r\}$  thereof to the lengths of bases of the sections  $\{L_1\}$  ( $L_r = L_2/L_1$ ) were calculated. Further, for the same profile curves, values of the kurtosis ( $P_{ku}$ ), as defined in JIS B0601:2001, were obtained. The results obtained for the light control films (26) to (28) are shown in Table 17 (units of slope is in "degrees").

[0139]

[Table 17]



	$L_r$	$P_{ku}$	haze (%)
Comparative Example 12	1. 1 6 2	4. 5 7 3	7 4. 4
	1. 1 7 1	4. 7 7 2	
	1. 1 3 3	4. 6 5 4	
	1. 2 1 4	4. 6 6 6	
	1. 1 0 6	4. 7 2 1	
Comparative Example 13	1. 4 2 4	4. 8 8 5	6 5. 6
	1. 4 0 7	4. 9 2 5	
	1. 3 8 9	4. 7 8 2	
	1. 3 7 6	4. 8 0 7	
	1. 3 9 4	5. 0 5 9	
Comparative Example 14	1. 2 2 1	7. 7 2 0	6 4. 3
	1. 1 6 3	7. 8 5 6	
	1. 2 0 1	8. 0 2 8	
	1. 2 3 8	8. 5 9 6	
	1. 2 6 7	8. 9 7 3	

[0140]

~~As seen from~~ The results shown in Table 17, show that the light control films of Comparative Examples 12 to 14 ~~showed~~ had ratios of the lengths  $\langle L_r \rangle$  not less than 1.1 and not more than 1.8 for all the profile curves. However, the absolute values of ~~the~~ kurtosis were less than 1.0 or more than 4.5 for all the profile curves.

[0141]

Then, the light control films (26) to (28) were each incorporated into a 15-inch edge light type backlight unit (one cold-cathode tube was provided ~~for~~ at each of upside and downside edges), and front luminance was measured. That is, the light control films (26) to (28) were each disposed on a light guide plate so that the rough surface of the light control film ~~should serve as~~ was the light emergent surface, and the luminance was measured at each emergent angle ~~for~~ for lines in the parallel and perpendicular directions with respect to the light source (cold-cathode tubes), which lines were positioned at the center of the backlight unit (1 inch = 2.54 cm). The results obtained for the light control films (26) to (28) are shown in Table 18 (units ~~is~~ is in " $\text{cd/m}^2$ ").

[0142]

[Table 18]

		luminance (c d / m <sup>2</sup> )		
		Comparative Example 12	Comparative Example 13	Comparative Example 14
parallel direction	left 45 deg.	1 2 1 0	1 2 2 0	1 2 4 0
	left 30 deg.	1 4 7 0	1 4 4 0	1 3 8 0
	0 deg.	1 4 4 0	1 3 9 0	1 3 2 0
	right 30 deg.	1 4 7 0	1 4 3 0	1 3 9 0
	right 45 deg.	1 2 1 0	1 2 2 0	1 2 3 0
perpendicular direction	up 45 deg.	1 4 5 0	1 4 5 0	1 5 5 0
	up 30 deg.	1 6 3 0	1 6 0 0	1 5 5 0
	0 deg.	1 4 4 0	1 3 9 0	1 3 2 0
	down 30 deg.	1 6 4 0	1 6 1 0	1 5 7 0
	down 45 deg.	1 4 5 0	1 4 9 0	1 5 4 0

[0143]

~~It was found from t~~The results shown in Table 18 show that when the light control films of Comparative Examples 12 to 14 were incorporated into the backlight unit, front luminance was not sufficient.

[0144]

[Comparative Examples 15 and 16]

~~For commercially available light diffusive sheets~~  
~~(Comparative Examples 15 and 16), surface~~Surface profiles of rough surfaces (light emergent surfaces) of commercially available light diffusive sheets (Comparative Examples 15 and 16), were measured at 5 arbitrary 5-positions on each sheet in the same manner as that used in the examples,

lengths of the measured profile curves  $\{L_2\}$  were measured, and ratios  $\{L_r\}$  thereof to the lengths of bases of the sections  $\{L_1\}$ , i.e.  $\{L_r = L_2/L_1\}$ , were calculated. Further, for the same profile curves, the skewness ( $P_{sk}$ ) and the kurtosis ( $P_{ku}$ ) were calculated. The results obtained for the light diffusive sheets of Comparative Examples 15 and 16 are shown in Table 19.

[0145]

[Table 19]

	$L_r$	$ P_{sk} $	$P_{ku}$
Comparative Example 15	1. 078	0. 177	3. 436
	1. 071	0. 169	3. 303
	1. 069	0. 176	3. 389
	1. 064	0. 168	3. 274
	1. 066	0. 174	3. 498
Comparative Example 16	1. 035	0. 725	3. 673
	1. 064	0. 722	3. 702
	1. 065	0. 747	3. 557
	1. 029	0. 701	3. 622
	1. 028	0. 689	3. 574

[0146]

As seen ~~from the results shown in~~ Table 19, the light diffusive sheets of Comparative Examples 15 and 16 ~~were those that could not provided~~did not have a ratio ~~of the~~ lengths  $\{L_r\}$  not less than 1.1 and not more than 1.8 at all the measurement points.

[0147]

~~Then~~Next, the light diffusive sheets of Comparative

Examples 15 and 16 were each incorporated into a 15-inch edge light type backlight unit (one cold-cathode tube was provided ~~for~~ at each of upside and downside edges), and front luminance was measured. That is, the light diffusive sheets of Comparative Examples 15 and 16 were each disposed on a light guide plate so that the rough surface of the light diffusive sheet ~~should serve as~~ was the light emergent surface, and the luminance was measured at each emergent angle~~r~~ for lines in the parallel and perpendicular directions with respect to the light source (cold-cathode tubes), which lines were positioned at the center of the backlight unit (1 inch = 2.54 cm). The results are shown in Table 20.

[0148]

[Table 20]

		luminance (c d / m <sup>2</sup> )	
		Comparative Example 15	Comparative Example 16
parallel direction	left 45 deg.	1 1 8 0	1 2 6 0
	left 30 deg.	1 5 6 0	1 3 3 0
	0 deg.	1 5 6 0	1 2 4 0
	right 30 deg.	1 5 5 0	1 3 3 0
	right 45 deg.	1 1 8 0	1 2 5 0
perpendicular direction	up 45 deg.	1 3 5 0	1 6 1 0
	up 30 deg.	1 7 1 0	1 5 0 0
	0 deg.	1 5 6 0	1 2 4 0
	down 30 deg.	1 7 2 0	1 5 2 0
	down 45 deg.	1 3 6 0	1 6 0 0

[0149]

As seen from the results shown in Table 20, when the conventional light diffusive sheets were incorporated into ~~the a~~ backlight unit, favorable front luminance could not be obtained.

[0150]

As clearly seen from the results of the ~~aforementioned foregoing~~ examples, the light control films of the ~~examples present invention~~ exhibited superior front luminance and appropriate light ~~diffusing property~~ diffusion, because the rough surfaces thereof ~~were designed so that~~

~~they should satisfy the~~have a specific configuration. Further, by incorporating such light control films into a backlight unit, backlight units exhibiting high front luminance ~~and not suffering from~~without glare ~~and or~~ generation of an interference pattern were ~~could be~~ obtained.

#### ~~Brief Description of the Drawings~~

~~{0151}~~

~~{Fig. 1} Drawing for explanation of the rough surface of the light control film of the present invention~~

~~{Fig. 2} Drawing for explanation of the profile curve of the light control film of the present invention~~

~~{Fig. 3} Sectional views showing embodiments of the light control film of the present invention~~

~~{Fig. 4-1} Sectional view of a three dimensional shape of a convex portion used for simulating difference in emergent angler characteristics caused by the shape~~

~~{Fig. 4-2} Drawing showing an example of three dimensional shape of convex portion used for simulating difference in emergent angler characteristics caused by the shape~~

~~{Fig. 5} Drawing showing results of three dimensional simulation~~

~~{Fig. 6} Drawing showing results of three dimensional simulation~~

~~{Fig. 7} Drawing showing results of three dimensional simulation~~

~~{Fig. 8} Drawing showing results of three dimensional simulation~~

~~{Fig. 9} Drawing showing results of three dimensional simulation~~

~~{Fig. 10} Drawing showing results of three dimensional simulation~~

~~{Fig. 11} Drawing showing results of three dimensional simulation~~

simulation

~~{Fig. 12} Perspective view of an example of the rough surface of the light control film of present invention~~

~~{Fig. 13} Drawing showing an embodiment of the backlight unit of the present invention~~

~~{Fig. 14} Drawing showing an embodiment of the backlight unit of the present invention~~



## Abstract

~~A~~ The light control film ~~enabling improvement~~  
~~in~~ provides improved front luminance, ~~having and~~  
appropriate ~~light diffusing property and free from~~ diffusion  
~~without the~~ problems of interference pattern, glare ~~etc.~~ is  
provided.

~~— A~~ The light control film ~~to having~~ has a rough  
surface ~~is constituted so that,~~ for substantially any an-  
arbitrary cross section perpendicular to a base plane of  
the film, has an ~~a condition that~~ average  $\{\theta_{ave}, \text{degree}\}$  of  
absolute values of slope, with respect to the base plane of  
a curve along the edge of the cross section, which is not  
less than 20 degrees and not more than 75 degrees, and has  
an absolute value of skewness (JIS B0601:2001) of the  
profile curve ~~is of~~ not more than 1.2 ~~should be satisfied~~  
~~for substantially any profile curve.~~